

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Todd C. Werner	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,289	+	04/27/2004		G-244	3288	
919	7590	12/13/2006		EXAMINER		
PITNEY B			KOHNER, MATTHEW J			
35 WATER P.O. BOX 3		IV <u>E</u>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MSC 26-22			3653			
SHELTON,	CT 0648	34-8000	DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Apı	olication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/709,289 WERNER, TODD C.		D C.			
			miner	Art Unit				
			thew J. Kohner	3653				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ıddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MISSIONS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this command period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will app will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may a ly and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become A	ICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 27 April 2	004.					
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This actio						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims			•				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
, 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-11 is/are rejected	l.		•				
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to.			•				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or elec	ction requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted	l or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawi	ng(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is	required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examin	er. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form F	PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				,			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:			§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority			A Handlan Ala				
	2. Certified copies of the priority				ol Ctopp			
	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internatio	•		n received in this ivationa	ii Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	•	` ''	t received				
,			o common copies me					
A44	W-)							
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🖂 Intensions	Summany (DTO 412)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 4/27/04	· .		Informal Patent Application				
rape	1 140(3)/14(a) Date <u>4/2//04</u> .		6)	·				

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,613,998 to DeWitt et al. (hereinafter "DeWitt") in view of US Patent No. to (hereinafter "Stevens").

DeWitt discloses a machine, comprising:

an elongate conveyor system (see Fig. 1) for transporting items to a hopper (96);

a printing and drying station (80) where ink is applied to said items and dried;

an elongate discharge apparatus said elongate discharge apparatus including a plurality of longitudinally-spaced apart deflectors for diverting preselected items from a first path of travel to a second path of travel (see Fig. 1, deflectors which divert mail to hoppers 96-99);

said elongate discharge apparatus including a plurality of bins, there being as many bins as there are deflectors (*Id.*);

said elongate conveyor system and said elongate discharge apparatus being disposed in parallel relation to one another (see Fig. 1);

said printing and drying station being disposed in inter-connecting relation to said elongate conveyor system and said elongate discharge apparatus (see Fig. 1);

Art Unit: 3653

a first end of said printing and drying station being positioned at a discharge end of said elongate conveyor system (see Fig. 1);

Dewitt does not disclose:

a second end of said printing and drying station being positioned at an input end of said elongate discharge apparatus;

said elongate conveyor system, said printing and drying station, and said elongate discharge system collectively forming a square "U"-shaped configuration;

whereby an operator of said machine has unimpeded access to said elongate conveyor system, said printing and drying station, and said elongate discharge apparatus.

However, Stevens discloses a second end of said printing and drying station being positioned at an input end of said elongate discharge apparatus;

said elongate conveyor system, said printing and drying station, and said elongate discharge system collectively forming a square "U"-shaped configuration;

whereby an operator of said machine has unimpeded access to said elongate conveyor system, said printing and drying station, and said elongate discharge apparatus.

Stevens discloses the interchangeability of the of U-shape conveyor layout to the "in line" configuration (col. 8, lines 37 et seq.). Stevens further disclose that the U-shape is to allow access for the operator to various portion of the apparatus (*Id.*). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified DeWitt to use

Art Unit: 3653

a U-shaped layout, as disclosed by Stevens, for the purpose of allowing operator access to various portions of the machine.

In regard to claims 2-4, see col. 7, lines 29 et seq.; see also Fig. 1.

In regard to claim 5, see Fig. 1 #95 which discloses belts, o-rings (i.e. belts), which sandwich the mail diverted by the deflector. Further, see how belt touching the deflector (belt 1) is deformed by the protruding roller of the opposite belt (belt 2) so that inherently belt 1 will snap the trailing end of the mail.

In regard to claim 6, DeWitt does not disclose a nip of opposed rollers which slow the mail prior to arrival in the bin. However, Examiner takes official notice slowing the mail prior to the impact with the bin is well known in the art as it prevents damage to mail item and further prevents the mail bouncing of the wall of the bin. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a pair of nip rollers for this purpose.

In regard to claim 9, see Buffer 50.

In regard to claim 10, see Fig. 1.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeWitt in view of Stevens and furthering view of US Patent No. 6,969,059 to Gafner (*hereinafter* "Gafner").

DeWitt does not disclose a suction box for slowing the mail. However, Gafner discloses a suction box (36, 37) for slowing mail prior to arrival. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified DeWitt to include a

Art Unit: 3653

suction box, as disclosed by Gafner, for the purpose of slowing mail prior to arrival in the hopper.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeWitt in view of Stevens and furthering view of US Patent No. 6,822,182 to Kechel (*hereinafter* "Kechel").

Stevens does not disclose the use of separator cards. However, Kechel discloses the use of separator cards in sorting mail for the purpose of defining different mail groups. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified DeWitt to include separator cards, as disclosed by DeWitt, for the purpose of defining different mail groups.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Kohner whose telephone number is 571-272-6939. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9-5:30.

Art Unit: 3653

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patrick Mackey can be reached on 571-272-6916. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew J. Kohner

Page 6

Examiner

Art Unit 3653

mjk

PATRICK MACKEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
3600

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600